

VANGUARD

AUGUST 1988

50 p

ISSUE No. 21

BRAIN- WASHING ON THE BOX

See Page 8.



VANGUARD

ISSN 0950 - 5229

EDITOR: TOM ACTON

Assistant Editor : Steve Brady



VANGUARD is published in order to advance the cause of British Nationalism. It is independent of all political parties but editorially it supports the National Front.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary the views expressed in the signed articles or letters are solely the responsibility of their authors, and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editor, *Vanguard* or the National Front.

In order to encourage constructive political debate *Vanguard* is happy to publish a wide cross-section of radical Nationalist opinion. Consequently the Editor is pleased to receive manuscripts of articles from readers for possible publication. These should be typed in double-spacing and normally be no longer than 1,250 words. No payment is made for articles published — which become *Vanguard* copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication, should shortage of space or editorial judgement require such alteration to be made.

Those wishing to reprint articles from *Vanguard* are welcome to do so, providing that they include with the reprinted article the author's name and the name and address of *Vanguard*.

BULK RATES

● **BUY VANGUARD IN BULK!** You can help build our circulation and make our magazine a success by buying bulk supplies to sell to your friends, comrades and the public. Bulk rates are as follows:

10 copies:	£ 4.00	+	£ 1.00	p & p
20 copies:	£ 7.60	+	£ 1.85	...
30 copies:	£10.50	+	£ 1.95	...
40 copies:	£13.60	+	£ 2.25	...
50 copies:	£16.00	+	£ 2.35	...
60 copies:	£18.80	+	£ 2.50	...
70 copies:	£22.00	+	£ 2.60	...
80 copies:	£24.80	+	£ 2.70	...
90 copies:	£27.80	+	£ 2.75	...
100 copies:	£30.00	+	£ 2.80	...
150 copies:	£44.80	+	£ 3.10	...
200 copies:	£58.00	+	£ 3.50	...

Quantities over 200: 27p each including postage.

Cheques/PO's should be made payable to *Vanguard Publications* and sent to: *Vanguard*, P.O.Box 634, Hove, East Sussex BN3 9FZ.

EDITORIAL

AUGUST 1988

ISSUE No. 21

"I'm not a Racistist but . . ."

OVER THE LAST twenty years the British people have been subjected to an unprecedented amount of multi-racistist propaganda. The tide of 'anti-racist' myth and malevolence that has rolled over the British people has, if anything, intensified over the last ten years, since the size of the NF vote in the late '70s indicated to the Establishment the size of the latent support for nationalism in Britain.

It's not only the overwhelming amount of multi-racistist propaganda spewed forth by the media that has been significant. Equally important as a means of manipulating public opinion has been the effectual blanket ban on alternative racial nationalist opinions. If 'racist' opinions are ever broadcast, or reported in the media it will only be to discredit them in some way.

So what has the effect on the British people of this daily diet of non-stop multi-racistism been. Are they still as racistist as ever? Or have they succumbed to the non-stop race-mixing propaganda, and do they now eagerly and enthusiastically accept multi-racistism?

The answer to this vital question will perhaps not please either British Nationalists or Establishment multi-racistists completely. Probably only a small minority of people would now openly and proudly describe themselves as racistists. Yet only an equally small number of people are actively, enthusiastically and genuinely supporters of multi-racistism. The opinions of the vast overwhelming majority can perhaps best be summed up by the phrase "I'm not a racistist, but . . ."

"I'm not a racistist, but I don't think this country is big enough to take any more immigrants . . ."

"I'm not a racistist, but I wouldn't want my kids to go to an inner-city comprehensive anyway . . ."

"I'm not a racistist, but I want to live somewhere more rural than Bradford . . ."

And so on until the final classic - "I'm not a racistist, but I wouldn't want my daughter to marry one."

GREAT TRIUMPH

The 'great triumph', if one can call it that, of two decades of multi-racistist propaganda is not that it has made the British people any less racistist than they were (a common complaint of the Commission for Racial Equality is that 'the British are as racistist as ever') but to make them feel *guilty* about being racistists.

As we said this state is not, perhaps, ideal, either from our point of view or that of political opponents. However it has come after twenty years of anti-racistist, not racistist, propaganda. Imagine what would have happened if circumstances were reversed: imagine if the British people had been on the receiving end of 20 years of racistist propaganda - can you imagine anyone saying "I'm not a multi-racistist, but I'm sure the country is big enough to accept a few million more immigrants . . .". Hardly

The failure of the multi-racistist lobby to seriously alter the views of the British people on racial issues despite having a virtual monopoly over the means of political and social control convincingly lends credence to the idea that the British people are fundamentally and instinctively racistist at heart.

What is the implication of this for the National Front. Essentially it means that we have a completely different set of problems from those faced by other political parties. Our task is not to change the opinions of the British people, but to get them to have the courage to believe in, express and act on the opinions they already have.

In practical terms this means we must fight the 'minority of one' myth, that is to say we must combat the situation, primarily caused by multi-racistism's monopoly of the media, where ordinary British people who resent mass coloured immigration and are opposed to multi-racistism, feel they must be in the wrong because all the media 'experts' support multi-racistism.

Secondly we should reduce the 'guilt element' in supporting the NF, since many people now feel sorry for the Blacks, by emphasising that repatriation is not intended as a punishment for having a black skin, rather it is as much in the interests of Afro-Asians as it is in the interests of the British.

THE ANTI-RACIST RACISTS

THE Labour Party Black Section has called for more research into hereditary racial differences and for setting up of apartheid in schools!

As unbelievable as it seems, these two racist demands were made as part of the Black Section's new 'anti-racist' manifesto, *Black Agenda*.

In a classic case of Orwellian doublethink, the *Black Agenda* is peppered with talk of the need for "a radical anti-racist strategy" to oppose the "flaunting" of "fascist policies" and the "dishing out" of "racist sentences based on concocted evidence".

Yet, beneath the shrill anti-racist rhetoric the Black Section's practical demands reek of racism!

On the health service *Black Agenda* complains: "The NHS has never been directed to develop health care for black people - numerous conditions caused either by heredity or through changes in lifestyles have not been addressed by

government agencies, for example, the efforts to counter the effects of sickle cell anaemia or thalassaemia have been led by black people themselves. The occurrence of rickets and heart disease have not been adequately researched".

On education, it calls for "black run schools" in inner city areas where schools are already mainly catering for black pupils. Such schools would have more black governors and staff and a "black perspective" throughout the curriculum".

Needless to say, according to this double think, anyone advocating "white run schools" in outer city areas where schools are already mainly catering for white pupils, or anyone who dares suggest that mainly white schools should have a "white perspective throughout the curriculum", will be charged under even tougher Race Relations legislation and locked up in prison...

Cracks in the Masonry

FOLLOWING *Vanguard's* series of articles highlighting the unsavoury and potentially corrupt influence of the secretive Freemasons in the Police, the matter was raised in the House of Commons on June 28th. Labour's Dale Campbell-Savours, MP for Workington, introduced a Private Member's Bill to ban Police officers from being Masons. "Any Police officer who is a Freemason should resign from either his Lodge or from the Police", demanded Mr Campbell-Savours, putting the National Front's position in a nutshell.

Moving his Bill, the Labour MP said the secret oath sworn by Masons could conflict with the Police officer's declaration to the whole community to discharge his duties impartially. Pointing out that there was widespread public anxiety, Mr Campbell-Savours said there had been allegations about the impartiality of investigation of cases where Freemasons were involved, and that Freemasons in the police force had received preferential treatment on promotion and disciplinary procedures.

The MP went on to cite the Operation Countryman police investigation, which had revealed Police membership of two Lodges forming the nucleus of a criminal conspiracy, and evidence that drunken drivers were not breathalysed when stopped by their Police Masonic Brethren.

Highlighting the extent of the problem, the Member for Workington told the

Commons that surveys had shown that one-in-five police officers were Masons, far in excess of the public at large. In 1981, he went on, 33 out of 50 Chief Constables had been Masons. Four entire London Lodges were made up exclusively of Masonic policemen.

Mr Campbell-Savours's Bill passed its first reading by 117 votes to 16, but for procedural reasons has, not even a theoretical chance of becoming law. Although even the Speaker, Mr. Weatherill, highlighted the problem when he observed that "As I understand it, it is impossible to find out who is a Mason".

In the past, the National Front has been accused of everything from 'conspiracy theory paranoia' to 'neo-Nazism' for speaking out against the menace of Masonry and its especially corrupting influence on Police officers. Ironically, much of this absurd abuse came from those very Labour circles who are now repeating in Parliament exactly the same thing that we have always said.

Not that Parliament is itself immune. As Bob Cryer, Labour MP for Bradford South, pointed out in the debate, *The House of Commons Freemasons Lodge is centred on the Conservative Party*. But it is, undoubtedly, not without Labour and other party Brethren. Only one political party has resolved to ban Freemasons from holding office within it. That party is the National Front.

INTERNATIONAL FINANCIER GETS A RASTA SON-IN-LAW

THE daughter of Viscount Cowdray, Rosie Pearson, has married a Rastafarian at a weird ceremony after which many ganja-smoking Rastafarian guests dined on tropical fruits and fish. The best man was one of her groom's four sons.

Her new husband, Palma Taylor, stands to share in an inheritance which runs into billions of pounds. Viscount Cowdray is the British end of Lazard Brothers, one of the world's leading merchant banks. Lazards have a special relationship with several major multinational firms such as ITT which has an appalling record of double dealing and trickery.

Of course Viscount and Lady Cowdray are horrified by the action of their daughter and both refused to attend the wedding. Apparently multi-racialism is alright for ordinary people but not for the people who have helped impose it.



VISCOUNT COWDRAY

LADY COWDRAY

Happy Families

UDM TAKES A SMALL STEP IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION

MEMBERS of the Union of Democratic Mineworkers will want a stake in a privatised coal industry, Roy Lynk, the UDM president, announced in June.

"If anybody is going to make a profit out of this industry," said Mr Lynk, "our members must benefit. Nobody is going to come and buy the pits over our heads as we sit back like feudal serfs".

The union also voted to set up a political fund, to back individual councillors and MPs whom it believes will advance its cause. UDM leaders stated emphatically that the money will not go to the Labour Party.

These two developments within the UDM will be welcomed by Nationalists.

Firstly, it has long been a Nationalist belief that the industry should be owned directly by as many of the workforce as possible, either in the form of small businesses or in the form of workers' co-operatives. In the case of industries where production must, of necessity, be on a large scale, such as the mining industry, workers' co-operatives should be the norm.

Ideally, each individual pit should be turned into a separate co-operative owned solely by the workforce of that particular pit. Such a move would create a grassroots democracy for, and real control of, the place where they work for individual employees of the mining industry.


RIGHT DIRECTION

However, we will not live in anything approaching the ideal world which Nationalism envisages for as long as idealism lies dormant. Until then the UDM's move to gain at least partial ownership and control over a privatised coal industry is a step in the right direction; that is, a step, albeit a small one, in the direction of direct workers' control.

Secondly, the UDM's announcement that none of the money in its political fund will go into Labour Party coffers but will be given to individuals, regardless of party, who will look after its members' interests is yet another encouraging step in the right direction.

In fact, the UDM's move stands in stark contrast to the activities of the other miners' union, the NUM, who spent half its annual conference in Yarmouth squabbling over which side to take in the current split amongst the Labour Party's leadership.

One side, led by veteran Marxist Arthur Scargill, called for support for Tony Benn's archaic state capitalist solutions to Britain's problems, while the more 'moderate' delegates pledged their support



to the crypto-capitalist policies of Neil Kinnock. Needless to say, neither Benn's state capitalism nor Kinnock's crypto-capitalism have anything to offer British workers in the mining industry.

Ironically, and paradoxically, the National Front rightly supported the NUM during the miners' strike in 1984, and roundly condemned the setting up of the UDM as a move which could only divide the miners at a time when unity was essential. The NUM was right in 1984 because Tory pit closure plans threatened British national sovereignty inasmuch as Britain's self-sufficiency in fossil-fuel based energy production was jeopardised by the Tory plans.

However, time, as the saying goes, waits for no one and history, being a product of time, slips backward irresistibly. The battles of 1984 have been fought and lost and in the battles of 1988 it is the UDM, however dubious its origins, which is emerging as the movement with a vision for the future.

CRIME The Black Truth

BLACKS are more than twice as likely to commit crime than their white counterparts, according to figures published in June.

A report published by the National Association for the Care and Resettlement of Offenders (NACRO) shows that 14% of prisoners in England and Wales are from ethnic minorities, more than twice the proportion in the general population.

Entitled *Some Facts and Findings About Black People in the Criminal Justice System*, it states that of the 50,270 people in prison on 30 June last year, 7,050 (14%) were from ethnic minorities, compared with 6,000 (12.5%) two years earlier.

However, and not surprisingly, NACRO's director, Vivien Stern, was quick to whitewash the black record of Britain's ethnic minorities. The figures didn't show that blacks were more likely to commit crime she said, but only that magistrates and court staff were racists and that more black judges were needed!

Unfortunately for Ms Stern, her pathetic attempts at hiding the facts on black crime will not be believed by anyone but the most credulous advocates of multi-racialism. The facts speak for themselves. Members of ethnic minorities are more than twice as likely to commit crime than their white British 'neighbours'. Such is the multi-racial mayhem which successive governments have inflicted on this once civilised country.

VANGUARD

Back issues of *Vanguard* are available for collectors, at the bargain price of only 50p inclusive of postage

- Issue 1 National Front The Way Forward
- Issue 2 The Blood of the British
- Issue 3 Ulster How the National Front can help
- Issue 4 Exposing the enemies of British Nationalism
- Issue 5 State Repression Is it Inevitable?
- Issue 6 National Front The First 20 Years
- Issue 7 Race Prejudice vs Reality
- Issue 8 Britain's Environment
- Issue 9 Fiji Multiracial Failure
- Issue 10 Britain United or Defeated
- Issue 11 South Africa A New Dawn
- Issue 12 Will Britain Turn Her Back on The Final Frontier
- Issue 13 Power to the People
- Issue 14 BP Fiasco
- Issue 15 Back to work in 1988
- Issue 16 The foundation of our culture?
- Issue 17 Their common purpose Murder.
- Issue 18 Le Front Nationale
- Issue 19 The Rising Tide of Colour.

Don't miss out! Make sure your collection of *Vanguard* is complete by writing to *Vanguard*, P O Box 634, Hove, East Sussex BN3 5FZ

Please state the issue number and the quantity you want, and enclose 50p for each copy requested

Cheques PO's should be made payable to Vanguard Publications

UNITED IN GRIEF & LOYALTY

LISBURN residents displayed their grief at the murder of six British soldiers by the IRA in June. About 4,000 people united in an act of remembrance at the garrison town's war memorial.

Men and women, Catholics and Protestants alike, gathered to see Billy Bleakes, the town's mayor, place a wreath of red poppies at the monument.

The fact that several thousand local residents turned out to pay their last respects to these six murdered soldiers indicates once again the steadfast loyalty and patriotism of the people of Ulster. These people have never, and will never, betray Britain. Britain must never betray them.



Mainland Britain's must never betray their fellow citizens in Ulster.

DO SIX MILLION HAVE TO DIE?

THE MAJORITY of the injured would be left to die following a nuclear attack because medical resources would be completely overwhelmed, the British Medical Association disclosed in a report during May.

Within a few weeks of the nuclear strike drugs would run out, so people such as diabetics, haemophiliacs and many others, would become seriously ill and soon die.

Casualties following a nuclear attack would be much greater than acknowledged by the Government, according to Dr. James Payne, a professor at London University and a member of the BMA working party which produced the report.

The BMA said about half the population of Britain would die as an immediate result of a nuclear onslaught. This estimate is three times higher than a comparable Home Office estimate because radiation is now known to be much more toxic than previously thought. There is general agreement, however, that a nuclear onslaught is likely to result in some six million injured.

Experience of the Bradford fire in 1985, and more recently the Kings Cross disaster, has shown that an ordinary hospital accident and emergency department is overwhelmed by as few as fifty injured people or just ten patients requiring intensive care or emergency surgery.

Doctors would have the problem of deciding who to treat. Priority should be given to those whose injuries are relatively superficial, the BMA suggests. People who have more serious injuries would be given lower priority - in effect they would be left to die.

MINORITY

Only the minority of casualties suffering from blast injury would get treatment. Without anaesthesia, which would be extremely scarce, wounds would not be treated to remove dead tissue, so many would die of gangrene.

Effective treatment of burns requires antibiotics and sterile fluids to replace lost body fluids. These would be hard to obtain and so the survival of most burns patients would be in doubt.

It would not be practical to treat those with radiation sickness, the BMA says. Anyone known to have been exposed to black-rain (radioactive) fallout should in effect be left to die. And so should those suffering from diarrhoea or vomiting - the common signs of radioactive sickness.

The BMA suggests that it may be better for doctors to participate in mercy killings than to leave it to a free for all. But the report warns: "Without some recognised means of identifying absolutely hopeless

cases and allowing their lives to be terminated, it is possible that well-intentioned actions by a few individuals would escalate into indiscriminate killing of casualties, including some who would have recovered from their injuries".

Without doubt this report makes alarming reading. However, although it may be **alarming** it is most definitely not **alarmist**. On the contrary, when one considers all the ramifications of life after a nuclear conflict it appears likely that the BMA report, if anything, is underestimating the utter anarchy which would result.

Not surprisingly, when the BMA's working party submitted this horrifying report to the Home Office for comments it got no response.

PROBLEM

However, at root, the problem is not how to cope with the horrific aftermath of a nuclear conflagration, it is how to prevent such a conflagration taking place in the first place. Prevention, as the BMAS would be the first to concede, is better than cure.

When looked at in this perspective it can be seen that the danger of a nuclear holocaust stems from Thatcher's disastrous defence policy.

Britain's defence policy under the Tories is nothing less than total surrender to a foreign power. That foreign power is the United States.

The US exerts its power over Britain in a multitude of ways, economic, political, cultural and military. American multinationals and merchant banks control large sections of the British economy, yankee coca-cola anti-cultures rules the airwaves and British politicians dance to the American tune. In short Margaret Thatcher is not an iron lady but a whimpering lap-dog being called to heel periodically by Reagan and his American masters.

In military terms, Thatcher's sickening subservience to American imperialism has resulted in a massive American army of occupation being stationed on British shores. The result, of course, is that Britain will be a prime target in any future war between the American and Soviet superpowers.

To put it bluntly, if Britain is to avoid the nightmare vision outlined in the BMA's report, she must keep out of America's wars and end the US military occupation of her shores. A Britain free and independent, need not be dragged into America's squabbles with other countries. A Britain, armed and neutral, can hold her head high without a nuclear cloud looming forever on the horizon.

BLACK MARKS FOR A BLACK BIRTHDAY

THE ORGANISATION of African Unity recently celebrated its 25th birthday amid a shambles of war, debt and allegations of corruption. Of the 30 Negro leaders who were present when the OAU was founded in 1963, two-thirds were subsequently assassinated or overthrown in military coups.

Of the gleaming new democratic constitutions left to the new states by the departing European powers, not one survives intact. Most have been replaced by one-party states run by "Presidents-For-Life", and the few, such as Kenya's, that are still nominally in force are a thin veil over tyranny, corruption and torture of political opponents. The host country, Ethiopia, is a bankrupt Marxist dictatorship whose people are starving and which is racked by two vicious civil wars at the same time.

The OAU is as corrupt as any of its members. External auditors, called into look at the books, found "*glaring instances of incompetence, negligence and dishonesty*". They called for the sacking of the OAU's internal auditors, "whose incompetence is notorious". They also

discovered accounting irregularities, theft of cash, equipment, fuel coupons and property. The auditors discovered that the OAU's Secretary-General, Idi Oumarou of Niger, had himself spent over \$100,000 of OAU money furnishing his home. Oumarou denounced the auditor, a Mauretanian Arab, as "*a mean person*", and the OAU decided to ignore the charges against him.

SQUALID FARCE

The 25th Birthday Congress itself was the usual squalid corrupt farce. Delegates lived it up on expenses, swigging imported malt whisky from the special duty-free shop at Africa Hall, the OAU HQ by day and patronising the local red-light district by night before staggering back to their plush suites at the Addis Ababa Hilton. On such things is Western foreign aid money spent. Meanwhile around the luxury hotel and conference suite the ordinary Addis Ababans, most of their menfolk conscripted to die in Ethiopia's endless civil wars, themselves 25 years old this year, slowly starved to death.

The OAU's deliberations, squeezed in between booze and brothel, were described by a Western diplomatic observer as "*everyone paying homage to the anniversary and how well Africa has been getting it together for the past 25 years. The same old thing. The usual laundry list of denouncing South Africa and Namibia, the only issues on which the members agree*".

Even there, the Black comedy continues. The OAU's "Southern African Liberation Fund" is \$12 million short. Some of that is failure by member countries to honour grandiose pledges of vast donations made by drunken diplomats at sumptuous OAU banquets. The rest of the "Liberation Fund" appears to have itself been "liberated" by the Black bureaucrats supposedly looking after it.

After 25 years of "independence" all African is united in, north of the Limpopo, is **disorganisation, corruption, squalor, incompetence and debt. It has spent a quarter-century steadily sliding back to the jungle, and it hasn't got far to go now. But then, what more can you expect?**

FULL MARX FOR CHINA'S MILLIONAIRES

THIRTY years ago, the official heroes of Chairman Mao's Red China were industrious workers and simple soldiers such as Comrade Lei Feng, exalted as a national example by the Chairman himself in 1958. What has happened to the once-proud citadel of "pure Marxism-Leninism-Mao-Tse-Tung Thought" since then is epitomised by the latest official hero, "All China Model Worker" Liu Xiang.

No humble toiler or heroic proletarian he. "Model Worker" Liu is a Capitalist millionaire who earns more than the bosses of the Communist Party and invests his wealth in secret American bank accounts and the burgeoning new Stock Market of "Peoples' China".

He employs 62 wage slaves in his factory outside Peking making cheap copies of American motor-bike helmets. Using cheap, ruthlessly exploited labour - "*If you don't fulfill your quota in this company, you're fired*", warns Liu - he exports his crash helmets to America, where they sell for a fifth of the price of US ones, undercutting White American workers and putting them on the dole.

Under Mao, Liu would have been shot on the spot as a speculator, a parasite and a Capitalist class enemy. But now Red China has dumped the sterile tenets of Marx and Lenin in all but name. Instead, in a Great

Leap Forward from the frying-pan to the fire, the new "Communist" Party slogan is "*It is glorious to be rich*". And Liu, who is rich, is proclaimed a national hero for exploiting his fellow man.

Nor is he alone. Guan Guangmei is a heroine for building up a chain of grocery stores and earning 20 times as much as her employees. A row kicked up about this by locals who still harboured the naive belief that Communism was supposed to be against Capitalists and exploiters of cheap labour like this ended with Comrade Capitalist Guan not only victorious but a delegate to the Communist Party Congress.

A number of the old plutocrats who were kicked out in 1949 at the "Peoples' Revolution" have come creeping back. Many are again rich men, sweating their workforce for all their are worth whilst the praises of the Communist Party din in their ears. Comrade Boss Guan, Communist Party delegate and chain store magnate, explains all this with impeccable Marxist dialectic: "*We are not Capitalists, because we are building socialism*".

PLUTOCRATS

Across China, socialism is now being built by opening Stock Markets, encouraging budding plutocrats to exploit their fellow citizens, and selling off ever

more of the economy to foreign multinationals, who have so much more experience of living off the sweat of workers' brows. Over 20 million Chinese now work for the Capitalist sector.

Unlike the Russians, who after consigning Marxism to the dustbin of history where it belongs are now exploring a real alternative, workers' co-operatives and small family businesses, without Stock Markets and exploitation, the Chinese seem determined to replace one evil with another.

Already the first McDonalds have opened in Peking, the first cans of Coke flooded onto the streets of Shanghai, the first ghetto-blasters blared the noise of Michael Jackson across the rooftops of the Forbidden City. After the ravages of one alien cult, Marxism, another, Mammon, seems set to finish off what survives of a four-thousand-year-old civilization.

If the Chinese want to destroy their civilization and culture it's up to them. But remember what Capitalism was able to do to the indigenous industry of Europe with the teeming cheap, obedient labour of Japan. Now the multinationals are getting their claws into a workforce ten times more numerous, in a land thirty times bigger. If you thought Japanese imports were bad, you aint seen nuthin' yet!

SOVIET UNION - Crumbling at the Edges



Armenian patriots on the march in Yerevan.

THE ERUPTION of ethnic and religious trouble in the southern Soviet region of Nagorny Karabakh is casting a long and threatening shadow over Mikhael Gorbachev's reform programme.

The crisis in Nagorny Karabakh, which began in February has already claimed dozens of lives, centres on the demands of the mainly Armenian and Christian population of the region to be united with the neighbouring Christian republic of Armenia. At present, Nagorny Karabakh is part of the Muslim republic of Azerbaijan.

In June, after a one-day general strike in Armenia, the republic's party authorities and parliament took the unprecedented step of backing the population's demands and voted unanimously for the transfer of the region to Armenia. This pitted them against both Moscow, which has ruled out such a move, and Azerbaijan, whose party and government have also rejected the idea.

The vote is all the more surprising because it has the backing of Suren Arutyunyan, the new Armenian Communist Party leader, who had been installed by the Kremlin only four weeks earlier, apparently in the hope that he could control the situation.

Meanwhile, encouraged by the official support from the Armenian authorities, passions are raging as never before in Nagorny Karabakh. The region has been at a virtual standstill for weeks. Food supplies are running short as thousands demonstrate in the streets day after day. In Baku, Azerbaijan's capital, districts populated by Armenians have been cordoned off to limit violence.

Under the Soviet constitution, territorial disputes between republics can be settled

only by mutual agreement, subject to ratification by the Soviet Union as a whole.

In this case there is no question of mutual agreement. The result is a constitutional crisis.

Furthermore, the crisis could affect Gorbachev's reform plans because it gives conservative opponents of *perestroika* an opportunity to point to the disastrous effect of loosening the reins.

The reformists rightly argue that *perestroika* has not caused the problem, but rather allowed a problem caused by previous policies to come to light. Nonetheless, the sight of bits of the Soviet Empire breaking off like ice floes in the sun is a powerful prop to the conservatives' argument that *glasnost* is perilous and that strong central control is essential.

TERRIFIES

Indeed, what terrifies the conservatives is the thought that failure to control the nationalist upheavals in Azerbaijan and Armenia could open a Pandora's box of similar demands by dozens of other nationalist groups throughout the Soviet Union. For instance, fears that the Russian grip on the borderlands is about to be snapped may have prompted the dismissal of Estonia's party leader in June after talk in the republic of setting up an independent 'National Front'.

There can be no doubt that conservatives of the American ilk will be delighted about these nationalist uprisings in the Soviet Union as their Soviet counterparts are terrified of them. Paradoxically, however, there is precious little difference between American conservatives and their fellow conservatives in the Soviet Union. In fact,

their differences only extend as far as the depth of their rhetoric, a rhetoric so shallow that even the most naive can fathom it out.

Indeed, the truth is as simple as the conservatives themselves. Above all, these conservatives are Imperialists. They preside over and protect jealously their own multi-national and multi-racial empires. As such, they are, of necessity, hostile to all genuine nationalism which threatens their position.

Their own patriotism is of the jingoistic kind having no roots and embracing a multiplicity of peoples, cultures and races, united not by blood but by the political or economic domination of the Empire.

The problem is of course that these Imperialists, simple or otherwise, have the power to destroy totally all human civilisation. As such, any efforts to break up their Empires by means of nationalist uprisings must represent a move away from the possibility of a future nuclear holocaust.

Thus, Nationalism is right on two distinct levels. On the moral level it is right because any people united by a common heritage of blood and culture has a right to self determination. On the political level a world divided into small independent nations is far less likely to obliterate itself in a nonsensical war between rival multi-national Empires.

This being so, nationalist uprisings in the southern republic of Armenia in the Soviet Union, or, for that matter in the southern states of the USA, are steps in the right direction as far as the onward journey of mankind is concerned.

BRAINWASHING ON THE BOX

STEVE BRADY

TELEVISION is undoubtedly the most influential of all the mass media in terms of its effect on ordinary people. As such, it has for many years been deliberately used as an instrument of blatant political propaganda. In particular, it has been used to brainwash White people into accepting multiracialism, or at least into being ashamed of secretly not doing so. The usual tactic is to constantly portray Whites as villains, morons and bigots and non-Whites as heroes, saints and scholars.


In America, whence much of British TV originates, this brainwashing is both overtly acknowledged and carried to ridiculous lengths. The truth is bizarrely distorted to avoid offending ethnic minority pressure groups and put across the required multiracialist line, to such an extent that even the media mind-moulders themselves have started to mutter unhappily.

For example, Bruce J. Sallan, vice-president in charge of TV motion pictures at ABC, one of America's three major networks, told the *New York Times* recently: "In their desire to avoid stereotyping, I think broadcast standards and practices sometimes go to an absurd extreme. There are almost no ethnic villains on television. We can't do a Mafia picture at ABC, because broadcast standards won't let us deal with Italians involved in organised crime".

Steve White, Mr. Sallan's opposite number at rival network NBC, went further: "We don't get letters from White businessmen, but they are really the ones who should protest. A majority of them are shown to be corrupt and villainous, and we do that because we know no-one will object".

A recent ABC TV movie, *The Children of Times Square*, shows the ludicrous lengths to which anti-White propaganda is taken. Depicting a gang of teenage drug runners in New York, the film was based on real life events in Detroit, Michigan. As the film's writer and director, Curtis Hanson, admitted, "The actual gang in Detroit was all Black". But the film's drug gang is multiracial bliss in action - it has nine members, three White, three Black, and three Hispanic.

As co-producer Marcy Gross put it "Our gang is very well-balanced - more so perhaps than in real life". She's not kidding - American criminal youth gangs are almost all Black, but where non-Negroes get in on the action, strict racial segregation enforced at knife and gun-point is the unvarying order of the day. Everyone involved with the film admitted



that racially-mixed gangs just don't happen but, as ABC's head of broadcast standards Alan Wurtzel put it "We don't want to foster negative stereotyping".

CONTROVERSIAL

Nevertheless, the film was controversial for US TV, because the gang's leader was actually portrayed as Black, which he was in real life of course. This daring departure was defended by ABC's Sallan: "It happens too infrequently on TV. Almost every villain you see is a WASP. I think we should be able to show that there are bad Blacks as well as good Blacks" (this in a country where Blacks are vastly over-represented in crime, jails and murderers' Death Row in proportion to their share of the population).

Even Blacks are getting bored with their continual TV whitewashing. Black actor Howard Rollins, who plays the gang leader, complained he was always playing 'noble characters' which was 'boring' and denied him the chance to

show his full 'spectrum' as an actor. hilariously, he was on the brink of accusing American TV of 'racism' in banning blacks from playing villains!

Not that the truth was to be let loose upon America's "boob tubes". The film was only allowed the liberty of actually portraying a Black baddie because it was just jam-packed with Noble Negroid super-heroes fighting for justice and momma's apple pie. So that the zealous, courageous etc. etc. Police lieutenant who eventually breaks up the drug gang is Black. Whilst the "Mr Big" who provides the drugs for the gang to peddle is White, as is the leader of their main rival gang.

As film co-producer Ann Weston revealed "We had instructions from the network that if a Black is shown in a bad light, we must also show a Black in a good light."

More typical is another ABC offering, *The Execution of Raymond Graham*, about a convict awaiting execution on Death Row. Before the film was made, there was much behind-the-scenes discussion about the wholly fictitious character's ethnic identity. "We debated for a long time," admitted ABC's Sallan, "because the great majority of convicts on Death Row are Black". But in the end Mr. Sallan and associates showed they are made of the true stuff of top executives - as he put it, "But we decided to make the character White".

Over at NBC, the network demanded that *Hostage Flight*, a drama about a plane hijacking, be rewritten to make the Arab hijack gang 'ethnically balanced'. The message sank home - the next offering, *Under Siege*, featured a veritable United Nations terrorist gang comprising Germans, Algerians, Lebanese and Libyans - but, of course, no Blacks (except amongst the cops who finally busted the gang).

And so the farce goes on. Jokes about TV producers demanding 'ethnically balanced' Viking ship crews aren't funny any more: they're probably on the box tomorrow night. As ABC's Chief Thought Policeman, "Standards Vice-President" Alan Wurtzel put it, "Because people today get so much of their information from television, we have a particular obligation to provide balance in our portrayal of minorities". Except, of course, for the particular minority to which Messrs Wurtzel, Sallan, Gross and so many more of America's top TV string-pullers belong.

And don't think it couldn't happen here. Watched *EastEnders* - or *The Human Zoo* as it should be called - lately?

A RAPE IN WOLFE'S CLOTHING

JOE PEARCE

IN the June issue of *Vanguard* I reviewed Tom Wolfe's latest best-selling novel, *The Bonfire of the Vanities*.

The novel itself was a negrophobic nightmare with the white minority, encased claustrophobically in lavish apartments near Park Avenue, surrounded by a hostile Third World. The Mayor is driven off a platform in mid-speech by a roaring and chanting black mob who see him as a symbol of white domination. The mobs are not spontaneous, but assemble at the command of 'Reverend' Bacon, a black preacher, Führer and entrepreneur.

The plot thickens when Sherman McCoy, the book's hero, drives over, and accidentally kills a negro who attempted to mug him. The black preacher, 'Reverend' Bacon, leaps at this latest opportunity to make political capital and the story is soon front-page news. Seizing the propaganda potential of the whole affair, Bacon orchestrates more demonstrations for the TV cameras, one in front of McCoy's apartment where they chant through the night.

It all sounds like a terrific yarn, the stuff that best-sellers are made of, but isn't it all a trifle far-fetched? Well, as the saying goes, fact is stranger than fiction and recent bizarre events in New York bear a stunning similarity to Wolfe's novel. The parallels are, indeed, remarkable.

The Tawana Brawley affair, a bizarre New York rape story which developed into a highly charged racial drama, exploded onto the front pages and television screens across the United States in June, after allegations that the whole saga was a politically-inspired hoax.

The drama began last November, when Tawana Brawley, a 16-year-old black schoolgirl, was found in a plastic rubbish bag outside her mother's former home in Wappinger Falls, upstate New York.

She was covered in excreta and had racist slogans, including "KKK" and "nigger" daubed on her body. Tawana was reluctant to talk, but she indicated that she had been abducted by four white men, then repeatedly raped and assaulted.

The local police, however, could find little evidence to support her story. Medical tests showed no sign of rape or physical abuse, and Tawana refused to co-operate with the investigation. Local teenagers claimed to have seen her at a party when she was supposed to be missing, and a nearby resident claimed she saw Tawana crawl into the bag herself.

In January, Alton Maddox and C Vernon Mason, two controversial black New York lawyers who specialise in cases involving inter-racial violence, took over the Brawley case.

Maddox said the white authorities had

conspired in a high-level cover-up to protect Tawana's attackers, whom he named as a local prosecutor, a part-time policeman and a state trooper.

The lawyers' attack was spearheaded by the 'Reverend' Al Sharpton, a New York Baptist preacher who has quickly become one of the most controversial figures in America.

A rotund, roguish character, rarely seen without his Martin Luther King medallion or his James Brown "Godfather of Soul" hairdo, Sharpton has effectively kept the Brawley case on the front pages with ever more outlandish statements.

He has compared the prosecutor in charge of the case to Adolf Hitler, suggested the IRA, the Ku Klux Klan and the mafia were all involved in the rape, and compared Mario Cuomo, New York's fawningly multi-racialist governor, to the bigoted southern governors of the civil rights era!

Besides his inflammatory rhetoric, "Reverend Al" also possesses real radical chic. He flits between "Day of Outrage" street demonstrations, Mike Tyson world title fights and black tie tea parties for Donald Trump, a New York property tycoon.

The case itself took another dramatic turn when the prosecutors subpoenaed Tawana's mother to appear before a grand jury investigating the charges.

Mrs Brawley, on the advice of her lawyers, refused to co-operate. A New York judge found her in contempt of court and sentenced her to 30 days in jail. The sentence caused an uproar in New York's black community: many blacks rallying behind Sharpton, Mason and Maddox, believing that an innocent black woman would go to jail.

Then, in the middle of June, a bombshell hit the Brawley camp. One of Sharpton's former aides told a New York television

station that Tawana's story was "nothing but a pack of lies". Perry McKinnon told his television audience that Tawana's three 'advisers', i.e. Sharpton, Maddox and Mason, had doubts about her story but decided to exploit the case anyway.

"The story do (sic) sound like bullshit, but it don't matter," McKinnon quoted Sharpton as saying. "We're building a movement. This is the perfect issue, because you've got whites on blacks. That's an easy way to stir up all the deprived people."

McKinnon also quoted Sharpton as saying: "If we can win this Tawana thing, we will be the biggest niggers in New York."

McKinnon's statements caused a national uproar. Within hours, Sharpton was branding McKinnon a "bald-faced liar" and telling the millions of people watching across the nation that New York was "a corrupt and racist state, dominated by the mafia".

Hundreds of black supporters cheered Sharpton to the rafters, chanting "No peace; no justice" and "Cuomo, Cuomo, have you heard? This is not Johannesburg".

For Cuomo, an erstwhile champion of New York's multi-racial society, the charges of racism and comparisons with South African premier P.W. Botha, though amusing to Nationalists, can do little good for his future political chances of securing black support. Yet the multi-racial chickens have come home to roost.

Meanwhile, the state attorney-general is investigating whether Sharpton, Maddox and Mason "have been perpetrating a hoax, not only on the black community, but on all the people of the state of New York".

One wonders what master story-teller Tom Wolfe would make of the bizarre 'rape' of Tawana Brawley. Surely even his lurid imagination couldn't match the nightmarish reality of New York today.

As I stated at the conclusion of my review of Wolfe's book, "multi-racialism, like murder, makes good fiction but nightmarish fact".



The 'Reverend' Al Sharpton leads Tawana Brawley from his church

RETURN TO ULSTER

by JOHN HILL

WE ARRIVED at the port of Larne at around 9.30 on the morning of Saturday the 9th July, tired and the worse for wear from the long journey from Birmingham the night before. We were soon speeding through the beautiful Ulster countryside to Coleraine where our party split up: some staying with local members, and others continuing on to lodgings in the nearby seaside town of Portrush.

The weekend was largely spent sightseeing, with the Giant's Causeway, a weird rock formation on the Antrim coast, being visited, as were a number of inns along the way!

Political activity started on Monday, with a papersale in Coleraine Town Centre. If only papersales in Birmingham were like this: over 150 papers sold in just over an hour. The evening was spent at one of the many bonfires lit to mark the start of the 12th of July.

Arriving at Coleraine train station at around 8.00 on the morning of the 12th the celebrations were in full swing, with local Coleraine bands and lodges parading around the town, before they set off for Londonderry, the site of this year's parades.

Travelling to Londonderry, behind a convoy of buses full of bandmen, we passed one notorious Republican estate, noticable by a rather tatty tricolour hanging from a telephone post, and with the roads sealed off by the RUC. With the IRA being very active in the area there was a high presence of security forces in Londonderry.

The streets were a mass of red, white and blue, with a definite carnival atmosphere with people waiting for the parades to start. Walking along the road selling *The FLAG* and *Vanguard* we resembled programme sellers at a football match, and trade was very brisk.

We then situated ourselves at the top of the town to watch the parades. They were as colourful as ever with some of the bands being of a very high standard. Nowhere will you see a people more loyal to Britain. The bands finally passed taking over an hour - a small parade compared to that in Belfast.

The papers came out again and even the drizzle that started couldn't dampen our enthusiasm. The first and only trouble we had, occurred in mid-afternoon, when the RUC jumped out of their landrovers and seized a copy of *The FLAG* (Why can't they pay for it like everyone else?) and told me not to leave the area while they inspected the paper for any infringements of Northern Ireland's Public Order laws. Half an hour later they returned, apologised and told us we were free to carry on.

Arriving back in Coleraine we were tired, but happy at having sold hundreds of copies of our publications. The next day we packed early and set off to spend the day in Belfast before catching the late ferry back to Scotland. We had a warm welcome from the people of East Belfast, when we leafletted areas around the Newtonards Road, and Templemore Avenue.

On the uneventful journey home we took stock of what our visit had achieved. Over 1,000 copies of *The FLAG* were sold, and many more leaflets were distributed. More importantly the NF was back in Northern Ireland, amongst its friends, the Loyal People of Ulster.

Finally on behalf of the National Front members who made the trip from the mainland I would like to thank the members of Coleraine National Front for their hospitality.



ABOVE: The author, John Hill, releasing the flag in Londonderry.

BELOW: A sales team in action in Londonderry.

TOP RIGHT: A Loyalist band proudly marching on the 12th of July.

MIDDLE RIGHT: Spreading the NF message in Coleraine.

BOTTOM RIGHT: One of the many parades taking place on the walls of Loyal Ulster.





relaxes(?) after a paper sale in
 Londonderry
 udly marches on the 12th day of
 NF message in the centre of
 y patriotic murals that decorate



"The Days Ahead Will Inspire Us"

With the rapid rise of the White patriotic movement in South Africa many are wondering precisely what policies the movement would adopt if in power. The answer was given during the opening sitting of the Durban Parliamentary Debating Society.

Although this society has no official standing, it enjoys considerable influence throughout the political life of the country. It has had the tendency of pre-empting political developments in the nation as a whole.

In the past the Society has been addressed by such varied political celebrities as Jaap Marais, John Vorster, PFP MP Roger Burrows

and Mangosotho Buthelezi, Chief Minister of KwaZulu.

At the beginning of 1988, however, an event occurred which many hope will once more pre-empt a similar development in the nation as a whole, namely the coming to power of a White patriotic alliance. Elected as 'State President' for the year was Alan Harvey, the leading racial-nationalist activist in the Durban area.

Reprinted below are extracts from Harvey's "State President's Address", which gives a good idea of policies which will be adopted by a genuine racial-nationalist government on gaining power in South Africa.

IT IS SIGNIFICANT that it is in this year of 1988 - the 150th anniversary of the Great Trek - that the forces of national self-determination should once again find themselves on the march in this land, and we, the new Government in this House, seek to reflect this new spirit of national renaissance. We will endeavour to re-assert the rights and privileges of the White Man in South African in all facets of national life. It must be emphasised, however, that our adherence to the true principles of White nationalism is based solely upon our love for our own White race, and not upon the hatred of others.

We seek to encourage other racial groups in this sub-continent to re-assert their own traditional customs and institutions within the boundaries of their own traditional fatherlands, and wish them nothing but well. At the same time, however, we re-affirm our belief that those parts of this country which do not fall into the traditional homelands of the Non-Whites (perhaps after some form of minor consolidation for the sake of convenience) form a united White South African nation, and remain the exclusive domain of White power.

All those who seek to shrink the boundaries of our nation, or to divide the English-speaker from the Afrikaans-speaker, must therefore be regarded as the enemies of the White Man, and must be acted against accordingly.

With these ideals of White national patriotism uppermost in mind I have tasked the expert team of ministers who I have been privileged to be able to gather around me on the Government front bench to act in the following directions.

In the field of Foreign Affairs South African will no longer be content with operating purely through official diplomatic channels, but will place greater emphasis on fostering close links and ties with those extra-parliamentary organisations overseas who are sympathetic to our country, who, it must be added, usually represent public opinion in this matter far more accurately than those of their respective

governments.

South Africa will also no longer take sides in the East/West Super-power struggle, but will adopt a policy of neutrality in this regard, allying herself only with the interests of fellow Whites in both the East and the West, rather than taking the side of either corrupt economic system.

The minister tasked with overseeing the giant portfolios of Economic Affairs, Technology, Agriculture, Environmental and Water Affairs has the important duty to ensure that South Africa obtains a great degree of self-sufficiency in all spheres of economic life. Our farmers and fishermen must be given greater state support and assistance in order to fulfill this aim. The harmful policy of privatisation, which robs the people and nation of their rightful assets, must be terminated, and steps taken to nationalise the mines and all other natural resources which morally belong to the nation.

As stated earlier, South Africa will adopt a policy of neutrality, but it will be a policy of armed neutrality. With there no longer even being any form of pretence of Western allies to protect us it will be more important than ever for South Africa to take all steps necessary to defend herself from outside attack. A far greater percentage of the national budget must therefore be devoted to defence, and all sections of the population will be encouraged to "do their bit" in their patriotic duty to defend the nation.

Armscor and other State scientific bodies will be tasked with developing and manufacturing all forms of weaponry necessary to defend South Africa's territorial integrity. Far greater emphasis will also be given to expanding and modernising the South African navy - for far too long the "Cinderella" service in the Defence Force - and her main function will be changed from defending the Cape sea-route to defending South Africa's coastline.

The Minister of Finance and Development has the primary task of

reducing the taxation imposed upon the ordinary White Man and Woman in the street. To this end all handouts and "bribes" to neighbouring independent Black states (including the TBVC countries) will cease. If these countries wanted independence from White rule then they should be prepared to make such independence work entirely by their own resources. Steps must also be taken to enforce proper taxation upon the super-rich and the big corporations, with all possible loop-holes tightly closed.

In the field of Home Affairs and Constitutional Development the fact must be faced squarely that the Tricameral Parliament has been an unmitigated failure. This experiment will therefore be terminated immediately, and the sovereignty of the house of Assembly re-established. All attempts to set-up a National Council will also accordingly be abandoned. Properly elected Provincial Councils will also be re-established, and greater powers devolved to local government at both Provincial and Municipal level. Such local authorities, however, will not be allowed to over-rule the rule of the land, nor to establish power-sharing links with autonomous Non-White authorities. With the consent of the White electorate of the territory steps will be taken to admit South West Africa as the fifth Province of the Republic.

In the sphere of Public Works and Public Administration a far greater emphasis will be placed on the upliftment of White culture and pride by



Alan Harvey

the protection of historical sites and buildings of significance in the development and taming of this country by the White Man.

The independence of the judiciary will be jealously guarded. Having said this, however, the Minister of Justice will have the duty to ensure that laws passed by Parliament are consistently upheld by the Courts of Law. This is particularly relevant in the upholding of the Group Areas Act.

The Minister of Transport, Communications and Broadcasting Affairs will oversee an increased status given to these important State run institutions. The destructive policy of privatisation in these spheres will be reversed, and SATS and Post office personnel will receive greatly increased pay rates in keeping with the importance of their positions. SABC will be charged with the task of propagating the truth about the situation of this country in a far more aggressive manner than at present.

URGENCY

The maintenance of Law and Order throughout the land will be a matter of the utmost importance for the new government. To this end the re-introduction of Influx Control and the pass Laws will be regarded as a matter of the greatest urgency, and this, when coupled to an increase in the size and pay-scales renumerated to the SAP, will hopefully enable the State of Emergency to be lifted at the earliest opportunity. The same Minister, in his capacity as Minister of Manpower, will also oversee the immediate re-introduction of the policy of Job Reservation as a matter of the utmost urgency, so as to end the unscrupulous exploitation of the White workers of this country by the anti-national capitalist forces.

The Minister of Industry and Tourism has an important task in counteracting the so-called sanctions threat. Foreign-owned industrial concerns which threaten to "disinvest" or which attempt to exercise uncalled for interference in the internal affairs of this country will be immediately nationalised, with the ultimate aim of handing over control to the White work-force at plant level. The tourist industry will be actively fostered, with White tourism from around the world encouraged.

Finally I would like to congratulate the new Leader of the Opposition upon his appointment. He and his opposition front-bench represent an ideological position diametrically opposed to that of our own, but we have full confidence that we have logic and history fully on our side, so have absolutely nothing to fear from healthy opposition.

Not for the first time the Durban Parliament would appear to be ahead of the times and to be pre-empting a similar institution down in Cape Town. The days ahead will inspire us.

Fight Back For Britain!

IF YOU believe in Britain, if you believe in the Nationalist cause, then please give the National Front your active support. Join the thousands of people all over Britain who are working for National Freedom. Remember - the worst Nationalist is an armchair Nationalist.

Paper-selling, leafletting, going to meetings and activities, organising social and fund-raising events - there is a tremendous variety of things that can be done to help your local NF branch. Would you like to help? If so then contact your nearest branch, listed below. They will be glad to send you an information pack about the NF, and invite you to their next branch meeting.

Each branch can be contacted via the Regional address, unless a separate branch address is shown.

South West England Region. P.O.Box 46, Torquay, Devon TQ2 7SX.

Bristol P.O.Box 447, Bristol BS99 1DS.
Bath
Devon.

South Coast Region. P.O.Box 230, Worthing, West Sussex BN14 8EG.

Brighton & Hove. P.O.Box 634, Hove, Sussex BN3 5FZ
Eastbourne.

North Hampshire.

Portsmouth & Southampton. 1, St Aubins Park, Hayling Island, Hants.
Worthing.

Kent Region. P.O.Box 89, Sevenoaks, Kent TN13 2BQ.

Dartford.

Folkestone & Dover.

Medway. 155, Knights Road, Hoo, Rochester, Kent ME3 9BX.
Sevenoaks.

North & West London. P.O.Box 760, London N17 6SB.

Haringey & Enfield
Harrow

East London & Essex.

BCM Newpat, London WC1N 3XX
Newham
Essex.

South London Region address being changed.

Croydon.
Greenwich
Lambeth
Richmond
Wandsworth

Ulster P.O.Box 17, Coleraine, Co Londonderry BT51 3SX.
Coleraine.

Thames Valley Region. P.O.Box 727, Slough, Berks SL3 7YY

Oxford

Slough

Northern Home Counties, P.O.Box 274, Hemel Hempstead, Herts HP2 5JW.

Hemel Hempstead

Leighton Buzzard

P.O.Box 189, Leighton Buzzard, Beds LU7 7Y3

St. Albans.

Milton Keynes

East Anglia P.O.Box 142, Norwich, Norfolk.

Great Yarmouth.

Norwich.

West Midlands Region. P.O.Box 114, Solihull, West Midlands.

Birmingham.

South Birmingham

Coventry.

Dudley & Brierley Hill. P.O.Box 1021, Halesowen B63

2NF

Staffs & Uttoxeter

Walsall

Wolverhampton

EAST MIDLANDS P.O.Box 246, Leicester, LE4 0WH

Leicester

Workshop

Yorkshire Region. P.O.Box 12, Morley, Leeds LS27 9QR

Dewsbury Bridlington

Harrogate

Leeds

Sheffield

NORTH WEST, P.O.Box 24, Rochdale, Lancs OL16 4UW

Blackburn & Accrington

Bolton

Carlisle & Cumbria

Clitheroe

Lancaster, P.O.Box 32, Lancaster, Lancs LA1 2HP

Manchester

Rochdale

North East England Region. 53, Greencroft Towers, Felling, Gateshead, Tyneside.

Newcastle

Sunderland

WALES P.O.Box 278, Cardiff CF4 3YB.

Cardiff

Scotland. C/O P.O.Box 230, Worthing, Sussex

If no NF Branch is listed in your area, but you think you might like to help form one then write to either the regional address or the National Front Administration Dept. at:- P.O.Box 230, Worthing, Sussex BN14 8EG.

Get Vanguard each month

MAKE SURE you get *Vanguard* sent directly to you each month by taking out a subscription to Britain's No. 1 Nationalist magazine.

Rates - UK: £10 for 12 issues

Overseas surface mail: £13 for 12 issues

Overseas airmail: £16 for 12 issues.

To: Vanguard Publications, PO Box 634, Hove, Sussex BN3 5FZ.

Please send me the next 12 issues of *Vanguard* magazine. I enclose £.....

NAME _____

ADDRESS _____

Postcode _____

Cheques PO's/IMO's should be made payable to 'Vanguard Publications'.

MAGGIE

The Plastic Patriot

MARGARET THATCHER and the Conservative Party always like to portray themselves as the 'Party of Patriotism' which proudly holds the Union Jack aloft, but an examination of Mrs Thatcher's and the Tory party's record shows up the folly of this idea.

We were reminded by Steve Brady in *Vanguard* No.19, of Mrs Thatcher's immortal words about the British people's fear of being 'swamped' and how she talked about ending coloured immigration into Britain under her premiership. Yet despite these promises coloured immigration still continues, albeit at a slightly slower rate, thanks partly to the introduction of the visa scheme which was too little too late.

In economic terms the Thatcher years have seen record levels of unemployment and the bleeding to death of British industry.

It has also seen the handing over of British industry to foreign investors, the most recent example of this was Rowntree-MacIntosh of York, which despite the protestations of its management and workforce is now Swiss-controlled.

In fact of the top 200 'British Companies' only 14 now have their headquarters in Britain, another example of the Tory Party's patriotism.

But not only have the Conservatives not stopped foreign investors from buying up British companies, they have also done nothing to stop British companies from exporting capital to Third World countries where they exploit cheap sweat-shop labour and import goods that undercut British prices thus putting British workers on the dole.

The Thatcher years have also seen the rise of the 'Yuppie'. The Monetarist policies followed by the Government have seemed to make money and the pursuit of money to be all and end all of everything.

In fact one could be forgiven for thinking that the two main symbols of the Thatcher era have been a filofax and a shiny new BMW car.

GROWN TIRED

On Law and Order we have grown tired of hearing how the Prime Minister stands well to the 'right' of her 'wet' Home Secretaries, Willie Whitelaw, Leon Brittan and Douglas Hurd.

The fact remains that the office of Home Secretary is one that rests upon the choice of the Prime Minister herself. It is also tiresome to hear the Tories going on about re-introducing capital punishment when they never do.

When all this is taken into account it can be seen that Mrs Thatcher's hard line pronouncements on law and order as on



BY
DARREN
COPELAND

many other issues are a mere posture to keep the Colonel Blimps and blue-rinse matrons in her party happy and the general public in hope.

Another example of Tory 'patriotism' was the signing of the treacherous Anglo-Irish Agreement which gave the Irish Government a say in the affairs of Northern Ireland, without even consulting the Loyalist people or their representatives.

If anything things have deteriorated culminating in the horrific bombing at Enniskillen on Remembrance Day and the disgusting murder of two British soldiers by a baying mob at Milltown Cemetery in March.

Mrs Thatcher also signed an extradition treaty with Dublin, which soon turned into a fiasco and whose latest failure was the refusal by Portlaoise District Judge, Jarlath Ruane to extradite IRA terrorist suspect Patrick McVeigh to face trial.

As can be seen Mrs Thatcher has no intention of combatting the Marxist terrorists of the IRA, her strategy is to, bit by bit, transfer Britain's sovereignty over Ulster to Dublin.

FINEST HOUR

I suppose most people would regard the Falklands episode as Mrs Thatcher's finest hour and indeed the decision to send the Task Force was one of the few right decisions that her Government has made. But before we start applauding this decision as some great patriotic deed let us

look more deeply into it.

Prior to the invasion, the Foreign Office had been in regular negotiations with Argentina over the future of the Falklands and had made it clear that it was looking for a formula to hand over the islands without too much bother.

This naturally enough led General Galtieri to believe that he could take these poorly defended islands if and when he wanted with little reaction from the British.

Thus a Government department had led a foreign power to believe that Britain would not bother to fight for a piece of British sovereign territory, yet another example of Mrs Thatcher's 'patriotism'.

The picture the Conservative Party and Mrs Thatcher like to give of themselves is that of the 'Party of Patriotism'. It is totally false. Their gospel is the gospel of materialist greed and nothing else. They seek merely to use patriotism only to hoodwink the British people into voting for them and on one occasion they even had the audacity to steal the NF's slogan 'Put Britain First' for a general election campaign.

The National Front, unlike the Tories is dedicated to patriotism as a principle above all other things and it is only when the British people realise this and stop falling for parties that offer an imitation of patriotic sentiment, that they will get the policies that most British people in their hearts desire.



MULTIPLE CHOSEN

- 1) During which of the following historical events did the "Jewish Humanitarian Tradition" first show itself? Was it...
 - a) The massacre of the first-born.
 - b) The Crucifixion.
 - c) The Russian Revolution.
 - d) The Bombing of Dresden.
- 2) What is Menachim Begin? Is it...
 - a) A poisonous nut.
 - b) An irritating foot condition.
 - c) A severe mental disorder.
 - d) Something from the Book of Revelations.
- 3) At the foot of Mount Sinai the Jews were to reject the Golden Calf as their deity for which one of the following reasons? Was it because...
 - a) Bits of it kept disappearing.
 - b) Moses wanted to make a set of teeth out of it.
 - c) There were no gemstones available to lug it around.
 - d) It didn't kill anyone.
- 4) According to historical consensus, where did the first massacre of the Jews by the Nazis take place? Was it in...
 - a) Hollywood.
 - b) Pogle's Wood.
 - c) A fertile imagination.
 - d) Trumpton.
- 5) According to Marx, the end of capitalism must inevitably result in...
 - a) The end of the Jews.
 - b) The Jewish Humanitarian Tradition.
 - c) Linda Bellos.
 - d) Channel Four.
- 6) During the feast of Purim, what is burnt in a large dish, filling the Jewish household with a relaxing aroma? Is it...
 - a) The fried fish.
 - b) The Jewish Humanitarian Tradition.
 - c) Mamma Minsky's Motzahs.
 - d) Yasser Arafat.
- 7) List in order of credibility...
 - a) Winnie the Pooh and the Blustery Day.
 - b) The Jewish Humanitarian Tradition.
 - c) The parting of the Red Sea.
 - d) The Diary of Anne Frank.
- 8) And finally, list in order of absurdity...
 - a) Greville Janner.
 - b) Bill and Ben
 - c) The Jewish Humanitarian Tradition.
 - d) Barbara Streisland.

EXAMINATION LOTTERY

OFFICIALS at California State University were puzzled by their Pan-African Studies course, given by Professor Eleazu Obinna, a Nigerian who has taught at the University for 17 years. This "Black Studies" course was very popular among students, who never seemed to fail.

Eventually, an investigation ordered by University President James Cleary discovered what was going on, and revealed it on May 30th. Apparently, to pass "Pan-African Studies" it was not necessary to produce any papers or sit any exams. Or, indeed, to do any course work at all. All the aspirant student of Negritude had to do was to sell Prof. Obinna's raffle tickets, all profits to the Prof.'s "United Crusade Foundation". Anyone who sold £54 worth of raffle tickets in a term got an automatic "A" grade in "Pan-African Studies".

This was too much even for a Negrophiliac US University, and the dusky Prof. has now been fired. His lawyers, however, intend to fight "vigorously" against this Black Sage's sacking. "Racism" is being alleged...

SPOT THE SUCKER



Is it a bird? Is it a plane? No it's Super Cohen. Michael Cohen, ace cameraman for the anti-Nationalist magazine Searchlight seems a little surprised when following the recent NF march through central London - or does he always look this silly?

YANK JUDGE TAKES THE...

A JUDGE in Massachusetts, USA, recently banned the compulsory testing of peoples' urine by employers to check they hadn't been taking drugs. Announcing this ruling, His Honour quoth thus:

"Our culture makes a big deal about that part of the body through which we urinate. To expose that part of the body is a 'no no'. It is probably the most identifiable 'private part' there is in society. Our society almost insists that the act of urinating be done behind closed doors. Our culture also dictates that the urine itself is personal and private. It is rarely that we allow another person to see our urine or dispose of it. Our society almost insists that a person's urine is disposed with great dispatch and in such a way that it never affects any of the five senses of other persons."

Our culture is such that when a person ingests something, that thing is not expected to be available again. It has become quite private and personal. Once ingested, it is gone, it is destroyed, it has been consumed. So our society does not expect that a person's bodily-waste materials will be searched without that person's consent."

Somehow I can't quite see one of Their Lordships holding forth in quite that vein in the High Court here... But then, Yanks will be Yanks!

Mr. Harry Bidney - Another Apology

IN OUR last issue, we promised our readers the Untold Story of this heroic 'anti-fascist', convicted pimp and homosexual paedophile, much praised by *Searchlight*. However, in view of the very nice things said about the NF in their June Editorial ("the real threat will come from this quarter") and the fact that our digging into backgrounds of those around this distinguished journal continues to yield what can only be described as "pay dirt", we have decided to hold over a tale summed up by Mr Justice Alexander Carmel, Q.C. in the Old Bailey as "disgusting and disgraceful" until a forthcoming issue.
P.S. Ray - How's Billy?

VIVISECTION: Barbarism done in the name of Humanity

JAMES HALL

ANIMAL cruelty is a subject almost certain to raise the hackles of just about everyone. Take fox hunting, that quintessential, centuries old, image of the English countryside. Objections to it are so strong that individuals travel large distances to disrupt the meetings, organisations like The League Against Cruel Sports buy up tracts of land in order to prevent hunting on it, forming natural refuges, and various councils have banned it on the land they own. Both the hunters and antis (pinks and sabs respectively) accuse each other of unspeakable disregard for nature in highly accusatory language, their positions seemingly immovably entrenched.

The problem is, of course, that coming to some decision about fox hunting means making, basically, an emotive choice and not a rational one. What one sees as his personal freedom another sees as some sort of monstrous imposition. So it is possible for any political party to make a rational statement about the animal rights issue, to make this 'definite law'?

In 1979 Lord Houghton formed the General Election Co-ordinating Committee for Animal Protection, which promised to 'bring animals into politics'. And it worked: the Labour Party, for instance, pledged to eventually ban blood sports if it retained power. Some had misgivings, however, for the policy had (as is the usual case with the Labour Party) been decided by a small, vociferous lobby whose definition of blood sports also included, rightly or wrongly, fishing. Angling clubs up and down the country were aghast and urged their (largely working class) members not to vote Labour. Needless to say history records that the Labour Party lost the election. It's even harder to see how the Tories could ban grouse shooting without biting the hand that fed them.

Could we do better? Well, the first thing is to realise that there is a difference between that which is done with a private responsibility and that which is done in the public name. The fact that opinions do differ means that by promising to ban blood sports we run the risk of being dictatorial, totalitarian even. The hunt saboteurs either do not make this distinction, or, in their anger, choose to ignore it. That is their choice - but it is no way for a political party to act, as the Labour Party found to its cost.

Morally reprehensible or not fox hunting is a private act that actually kills very few foxes per year, it is only its high public profile that makes it such a target. The future of bloodsports will probably depend upon the level to which we can inculcate into our offspring a respect for nature (based upon a respect for their own dignity probably). But what about vivisection - which takes place behind closed doors, in secret and (most



A photo once used as evidence in court; a hunt supporter attacks a saboteur.

importantly) in the public name. Is it possible to make a rational decision about that?

Vivisection literally means 'cutting alive', and it is fairly well known that live animals do get cut up to further our scientific knowledge, manufacture drugs, and test various products. These experiments are generally excused on the grounds of a higher public good, justifiable as animal lives verses human lives as it were - and because of this apparent rationality everybody seems to accept it. The Home Office encourages this view, going so far as to publicly state in unambiguous terms, *'the infliction of pain on experimental animals only avoids becoming cruelty if it is compensated for by the consequential human good, which must be serious and necessary, not frivolous and dispensable'*.

Since the Home Office is the body that is responsible for the issue of licenses needed for such experiments it must be assumed that it believes those experiments actually performed are necessary. But are they, and does the government really believe what it says, if not does it know what it is talking about?

LETHAL DOSE

Let's take LD 50 tests as an example. The name stands for Lethal Dose 50% and is defined by the Home Office as *'a numerical index which gives some information about the acute toxicity of chemical substances'*.

It works by feeding some new product to a group of captive animals until half of them die, at which point the toxicity is established. The animals are generally rats, though birds, fish, monkeys and dogs are also used. The survivors are either destroyed or used in the next set of tests.

The procedure was worked out in 1927 to test newly discovered 'wonder drugs' such as insulin, but the products tested nowadays vary enormously from furniture polish and shampoo to pet food and candles. In fact everything has to be tested before it is allowed onto the market.

Now, although the law makes manufacturers carry it out for our good in accordance with the Home Office directives the test is known to be perfectly unreliable. This is because animals vary widely in their reaction to each and every substance. Penicillin is poisonous to guinea pigs, for instance, while sheep are fairly immune to arsenic. Morphine, used as an anaesthetic on man, produces the opposite effect, manic, almost demented behaviour, in cats and mice. Moreover since it is used only to establish how poisonous something is if you ate it it cannot indicate how bad it might be for you in the long term. Nor does 'acute toxicity' measure the cumulative effects of two or more products taken together.

The legal wrangle over Thalidomide stems from the fact that the makers of the drug, Distillers, followed government guidelines at every stage in its development. Therefore, they argue, the fact that it did harm a lot of people (the drug was responsible for at least 10,000 malformed babies) is the government's responsibility not theirs. The same story applies to Opren, Eraldrin, Debendox, Isoproteneral, Pheniformin etc. These last two drugs alone were responsible for an epidemic killing 3,500 people and causing 1,000 deaths annually respectively.

This is not to say that the companies want to see the LD50 test requirements

overhauled to protect themselves and their customers - far from it. In an astonishing revelation William McMillian, when director of the Chemical Industries Association, insisted that they wanted to see the number of chemical experiments reduced adding,

"but where you have a substance which is being exported, and governments and transport agencies require a number to fill in on their little bits of paper we're saddled with LD50".

In other words the drugs industry would prefer to dump their chemicals on the market untested!

No wonder, then, that when some years ago, Israeli doctors went on strike the official death rate dropped by half. Likewise in Columbia, some time after, when the decline in mortality was 52% during a 52 day doctors strike.

APOLOGISTS

Apologists for the drug companies and Home Office point out the eradication of disease in our cities and then claim a victory for technology, science and the march of progress. The implication is that the new drugs can only enhance our lives even more.

Needless to say this is stretching the truth to breaking point. Most of the killer diseases were wiped out through better sanitation, cleaner drinking water and healthier homes. The death rate for TB in the mid 19th century was around 4,000 per million head of population. When, as a result of animal experimentation, the BCG vaccination was developed the mortality figures were already down to 500 per million. Likewise with diseases associated primarily with infant mortality, measles, diphtheria and the like, the death rate had already fallen to nine-tenths before the introduction of antibiotics.

It is worth noting that even with all our new drugs the incidence of TB still went up with the arrival of disease laden Asians (in Bradford, in fact, there was something of an epidemic). Clearly, if public health were the vital factor in establishment thinking immigration barriers and not new drugs would have been the order of the day.

Many of the new products pumped out by the drugs industry are, in fact, designed to cure side effects of the very drugs they make in the first place. Some 15% of the hospital beds in Britain are occupied by victims of this syndrome. Of the 45,000 drugs on sale throughout the world today it is reckoned that only 200 or so are of any real benefit to us as a specie. Bearing in mind the diversity of that specie and the ailments that it suffers then the actual number per race is probably fewer.

The sad fact that human good, in the eyes of the manufacturers, really means human goodies, which means profit. And the even sadder fact that the thousands of new chemicals being marketed every year following the same test route as Thalidomide hasn't made the government go rushing back to the drawing board, makes a mockery of their 'human good' argument.


Arguably however, the biggest victim is science itself. Because of the whole situation many people are distrustful of not merely doctors but science as a whole. This should not be the case at all for the LD50 tests represent a travesty of scientific methodology. Real science would be represented by the perfection of cell culture technology, for instance, which could be used to replace the animals and provide a surer test medium for the public. There can be no doubt whatever that a nationalist Britain must outlaw all animal experimentation, making the companies use the alternative test procedures that



Militant opponents of vivisection on the march.

either exist already or that they will be made to develop with some of their profits.

The way forward, towards some definite law regarding animal cruelty, lies in restraining the greed, truth twisting and irresponsibility of the drug companies and the Home Office alike yet freeing true scientific research into protecting us all - including the laboratory animals.



CONDEMNED

The ears are cute, the eyes appealing. But these rabbits will die after enduring tests for body-care products. According to Government figures for 1986, 10,101 experiments were carried out on live rabbits in Britain by putting substances into their eyes.

NATIONALIST VIEWPOINTS

YOUR VIEWS MAKE NEWS!

VANGUARD welcomes your letters.
Please write to: *Vanguard*, P.O. Box
634, Hove, East Sussex BN3 5FZ.

ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS

Dear Editor,

There have been excellent articles on *Vanguard's* Green Front page in the past, but they appear to become less frequent.

It would appear prudent to increase the environmental issues and environmental awareness amongst National Front members since I believe that one cannot build Social Justice, Racial Purity and National Sovereignty upon a poisoned dying land with lifeless rivers surrounded by a dead sea. Even if we could what would be the point?

M. Wagegg
Birmingham

PRISON VIOLENCE

Dear Sir,

I am a long term prisoner who was recently imprisoned at Barlinnie before transfer to Shotts and the reason I write to your magazine is to comment on the ongoing furore concerning alleged White prisoners' violence against illegal Commonwealth guest workers. I have elected to write to *Vanguard* because the popular press are seemingly anti-British and would not print the truth.

It is true that in Barlinnie the occasional alien is chastised but usually not without good reason and provocation. Most Whites resent the arrogance of these unwanted immigrants as they swagger about boasting that even if they are deported it won't be too long before they are back in the country with a consignment of heroin and from its profits will come enough to open a Cash and Carry or some other business front for their nefarious enterprises.

Whites also object to the favourable treatment these coloureds get with special diets, smaller sentences and less strict discipline being imposed on them by the screws. The screws are terrified to offend these coloureds' sensitivities in case they are reported to the Race Relations Board and lose their jobs.

On 16th June, Lord Weir, in a case of positive discrimination in favour of Asians set one Vijay Kumar free because he 'claimed' he was beaten up in jail by Whites. It is curious to note that when Whites are slashed or even stabbed they are stitched up and put back into the Halls where they were assaulted to sink or swim. If judges are going to start

freeing men who are being bullied in jail then they will need to release hundreds as bullying is the norm in prison. I doubt though if many Whites would be released as they are mostly ill-educated, poor and have no influential champions.

It has not escaped Scots' prisoners notice that there were no MPs kicking up a fuss last year when English football fans were put into Barlinnie following the Scotland game at Hampden where they were assaulted, robbed and put into solitary for their own protection. These fans were not being abused because they were English; they were abused because they came to Glasgow looking for trouble and they were only getting what they wanted - trouble! The coloureds were also similarly abused for abusing the host country's hospitality.

Yours sincerely,
160/87 James Sorley,
H.M.P. Shotts,
Lanarkshire.

BIAS

Dear Sir,

The Rising Tide of Colour (*Vanguard* June) was both a warning of the shape of things to come and an indictment of post-war governments.

The arguments employed today by the powers that be are against fighting 'prejudice', especially in the field of education. Taking A-Levels at evening classes, I came across this bias in abundance especially with Sociology and even with English (AEB). The accompanying text book, *Issues* by Peter Turner, quoted various sources for essays on race relations. The only audio-visual materials came from the Institute for Race Relations and All London Teachers Against Racism and Fascism! The same partiality was reflected in the fiction and non-fiction books mentioned (Jean Raspail's *Camp of the Saints* and Dr John Baker's *race* being curiously missing).


Whatever the liberals say, can we really 'educate' racialism out of people? When we realise that this emotion is displayed in all ethnic groups throughout history, it is not unreasonable to say 'NO!' Professor A. James Gregor puts it thus:

"The preference for one's kind is an elementary social fact, the forms it will assume will be conditioned and modified by circumstances - but where differences between peoples are so great as to be immediately perceptible, and where organised groups of appreciable numbers maintained sustained contact, characteristic forms of race prejudice manifest themselves".

Next time we win - the future belongs to us!

Yours for England
Graeme Kemp
Hants

VIDEO



TIME TO DEAL WITH TERRORISM

A video recording of the 'Time to Deal with Terrorism' march by the National Front through central London is now available.

See the march and rally, and hear all the speakers at this great event: Martin Wingfield, David Seawright, Paul Nash, Ian Anderson and Steve Brady.

The recording lasts about 50 minutes, and is available in VHS format for £15.00 inc postage from Vanguard Publications, P.O.Box 634, Hove, Sussex BN3 5FZ.

ULSTER

Receive a subscription to *ULSTER*. This excellent 20 page magazine which is produced monthly is available for a cheque/PO of £10.00 (yearly subscription) from the Ulster Information Service, 2A, Gawn Street, Newtonards Road, Belfast BT4 1AQ Ulster.

The FLAG

Britain's largest circulation Nationalist newspaper. News, views and all the latest on the progress of the National Front. Send 40p for sample copy to *Vanguard*, P.O.Box 634, Hove, Sussex BN3 5FZ.

PATRIOTS' DEFENCE FUND

The PDF was set up to help young British patriots who have suffered fines or imprisonment because of their militant opposition to the enemies of Britain - for example members of Birmingham NF who have been fined hundreds of pounds for opposing IRA marches through the streets of their city.

Please support this fine patriotic charity. Send your donations to:- PDF, P.O.Box 142, Norwich, Norfolk. Cheques/POs payable to "Patriots Defence Fund" please.

MAIL ORDER

VANGUARD SALES

Please send your orders to: Vanguard Publications, P.O.Box 634, Hove, East Sussex BN3 5FZ.

Cheques/Postal Orders/International Money Orders should be made payable to Vanguard Publications. When ordering please remember to include the postage figure in brackets.

RACE, INTELLIGENCE & EDUCATION

Nationalist Teachers Research Group. This was originally produced in answer to a propaganda booklet written by two Marxists for the National Union of Teachers. It systematically demolishes the 'scientific' anti-racism of the NUT booklet, and is a valuable addition to Nationalist literature as it concisely shows how anti-racist arguments can easily be torn to shreds. Recommended. Magazine format.

Pb, 20pp. 50p (20p)

MYSTERIOUS BRITAIN Janet & Colin Bord. This excellently illustrated book delves into some of the earliest folk-history of the British people. Gives a real understanding of the lives of the first inhabitants of the British Isles.

Pb 287pp illustrated £4.95 (65p)

RACE AND REASON Carleton Putnam. The social implications of racial differences are examined by an American expert. Highly influential in America.

Pb, 126pp £4.30(50p)

NATIONALIST DOCTRINE Joe Pearce. Currently the best-selling book on our list. Nationalist Doctrine is an excellent introduction to the fundamental aspects of Nationalism. The author is one of the leading writers on the contemporary British Nationalist scene, and one of the most dedicated: this book was written while Joe was serving a year's prison sentence under Britain's notorious Race Laws.

Pb, 25pp £1.00 (25p)

THE BEST OF NATIONAL VANGUARD

Compiled by K.A. Storm. A compilation of over 200 of the best articles to have appeared in the American racist publication 'National Vanguard' since 1970. This magazine has long been held in great respect by British Nationalists, and rightly so. A wide range of political, historical and cultural themes are covered by a number of excellent, in depth articles. Expensive but well worth the cost.

Pb, 217pp. tabloid size. £10.50 (£1.20)

HILAIRE BELLOC A.N.Wilson. Wilson's profound sympathetic study of one of Britain's most original thinkers, who greatly influences modern Nationalist thought, will make this a must for many Nationalists.

£5.95 (£1.00)

SKREWDRIVER — THE FIRST TEN YEARS Joe Pearce. The full story from their beginnings in Lancashire, to their present position as the world's leading Nationalist Rock Band. Skrewdriver's development, both musical and political, is clearly charted.

Pb. 64pp £2.00 (30p)

OCCUPATION Paul Comben. A new collection of over 20 previously unpublished poems by one of Britain's leading Nationalist poets. Irony and satire are used with relish to attack a variety of targets, from reactionary Colonel Blimps to trendy student pseudos. In two of the poems, inspired by the heroism of British troops in the Falklands, that heroism is contrasted with empty bar-room patriotism.

Pb, 39pp. £1.75 (20p)



Those Spittin Politicians are at it again!
For information to obtain your *Hilarious copy*

Phone Spittin Tapes at:-
(0232) 54701

WARNING: these tapes are of an explicit nature!
YOU HAVE BEEN WARNED.

THE DESTRUCTION OF DRESDEN David Irving. A stunning expose of one of the worst atrocities of the last War - the firebombing of an undefended city, that killed 135,000 civilians in one night.

Pb, 287pp. £1.25(40p)

White Power



Top class enamel badges from Birmingham NF
The fist of White Power! a symbol of resistance.
Get yours by sending £1.50 to:- Birmingham NF
P.O.Box 114, Solihull, West Midlands.
Cheques P.O.s to:- Birmingham NF.

FOR SALE - Back issues of *NF News*, prior to April '86. Send SAE with your wants list to PO Box 46, Torquay, Devon TQ2 7SX.

T-SHIRTS



Designs available - as illustrated above.

National Front, in red, white and blue
Hang IRA Scum, in black, white and green
White Power, in white on a black T-shirt

All designs are available in medium and large sizes.

Prices: All T-shirts are £4.00 each including p&p, from Vanguard Publications, P.O. Box 634, Hove, Sussex BN3 5FZ. Cheques/PO's to 'Vanguard Publications' please.



Roots & Reason

by JOE PEARCE

HIGH TECHNOLOGY is now being used constructively to complement the world of art and archaeology. Sophisticated physical and chemical techniques are being employed more and more frequently to help with the analysis, preservation and restoration of art and archaeological finds.

They are often used alongside the traditional methods of analysing art, which rely on the study of documents and on the examination of style and technique.

Perhaps the best known alliance between these new forms of high technology and the world of archaeology is the use of new scientific techniques to determine the authenticity of the Turin Shroud, allegedly the shroud which covered the crucified body of Christ.

Indeed, the Vatican endorsed the scientists' new-found respect in the art world when it asked three universities to examine the Shroud. One of these is Oxford University, whose effort will be led by Professor Edward Hall, director of the Research Laboratory for Archaeology and the History of Art.

However, these new scientific techniques are already tried and

tested and have caused several upsets:

★ A 16th century Persian manuscript was uncovered as a Victorian forgery thanks to analytical chemistry, which spotted the presence of a modern pigment, chrome green;

★ The Vinland map, originally thought to be mid-15th century, was proven suspect following probes with electrons and x-rays, which identified ink titanium oxide, a pigment introduced in 1920.


Simple technical procedures have been used for years to determine the authenticity of work, but powerful new techniques are considerably more precise and, more importantly, do not damage the piece under study. By contrast, early analytical techniques required, for example, that a piece of the work be dissolved in acid.

What is more, the knowledge gained from analysis of historic pieces is helping conservation. For example, researchers at one of America's eminent museums, the Smithsonian Institution of Washington DC, are using new information on the deterioration of materials to restore and conserve the

original 1903 model of the Wright brothers' aircraft.

Finally, and of particular interest to Racial Nationalists, these new techniques are shedding new light on exactly where are own civilisation was born. For instance, the idea that Western civilisation emerged in the Middle East is being reassessed as a result of modern archaeological techniques that date materials by heating them and analysing the light emitted. The newest data suggest that advanced ideas moved from East Europe to the Middle East, not the other way. Thus scientists are finally coming to realise that European civilisation comes from Europe!


Without doubt, this new alliance of science and culture offers exciting new possibilities *vis-à-vis* man's understanding of his heritage and roots. And, of course, such an understanding can only reinforce his sense of ethnic and cultural identity. As such, there is hope that European culture may re-emerge from science just as science originally emerged out of European culture.



New answers to an old controversy?

LEFT: The Turin Shroud.

RIGHT: A member of the Oxford team analysing the Shroud.



ER ON THE 12th JULY

ER

JOHN HILL

9.30 on the
worse for wear
ht before. We
countryside to
ing with local
in the nearby

with the Giant's
m coast, being

a papersale in
mingham were
r. The evening
the start of the

8.00 on the
ull swing, with
und the town,
of this year's

of buses full of
bican estate,
m a telephone
With the IRA
h presence of

with a definite
e parades to
and *Vanguard*
atch, and trade

yn to watch the
e of the bands
u see a people
taking over an
st.

le that started
only trouble we
jumped out of
Why can't they
leave the area
ringements of
our later they
o carry on.

appy at having
e next day we
Belfast before
e had a warm
e we leafletted
more Avenue.
ck of what our
e FLAG were
buted. More
ern Ireland,
ster.

members who
e to thank the
ir hospitality.



ABOVE: The author, John Hill, relaxes(?) after a paper sale in Londonderry.

BELOW: A sales team in action in Londonderry

TOP RIGHT: A Loyalist band proudly marches on the 12th day of July.

MIDDLE RIGHT: Spreading the NF message in the centre of Coleraine.

BOTTOM RIGHT: One of the many patriotic murals that decorate the walls of Loyal Ulster.

